

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

JASON R. BENDER,

Plaintiff,

v.

CORPORAL STEVEN ROBERTSON,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

C.A. No. 06-772-GMS

SCHEDULING ORDER

This ____ day of June, 2007, the Court having conducted an initial Rule 16 scheduling and planning conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.2(b) on June 19, 2007 and the parties having determined after discussion that the matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement, voluntary mediation or binding arbitration;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. **Rule 26(a) Initial Disclosures.** Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, they shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(a) on or before July 13, 2007.
2. **Joinder of other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings.** All motions to join other parties and amend the pleadings shall be filed on or before August 24, 2007.
3. **Discovery.** All discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before December 19, 2007.

Discovery Disputes and Scheduling Matters. Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery dispute or scheduling matter, the party seeking the relief shall contact chambers at (302) 573-6470 to schedule a telephone conference. Not less than forty-

eight hours prior to the conference, the parties shall file with the Court, via electronic means (CM/ECF), a **joint, non-argumentative** letter agenda not to exceed two (2) pages outlining the issue(s) in dispute. A sample letter can be located on this Court's website at www.ded.uscourts.gov. After the parties have had three (3) discovery teleconferences, they will be required to file a joint letter showing good cause why the Court should permit a fourth discovery teleconference. Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon conclusion of the teleconference, unless otherwise directed, the party seeking relief shall file with the Court a **TWO PAGE LETTER** exclusive of exhibits, describing the issues in contention. The responding party shall file within five (5) days from the date of service of the opening letter an answering letter of no more than **TWO PAGES**. The party seeking relief may then file a reply letter of no more than **TWO PAGES** within three (3) days from the date of service of the answering letter.

4. **Confidential Information and Papers filed under Seal.** Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, they should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the court within 10 days from the date of this order. When filing papers under seal, counsel should deliver to the Clerk an original and two copies of the papers.

If after making a diligent effort the parties are unable to agree on the contents of the joint proposed protective order, then they shall follow the dispute resolution process outlined in paragraph 3(a).

5. **Settlement Conference.** Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, this matter is referred to the United States Magistrate for the purpose of exploring the possibility of a

settlement. If the parties agree that the possibility of settlement may be enhanced by such referral, the parties shall contact Magistrate Judge Thyng to schedule a settlement conference with counsel and clients.

6. **Case Dispositive Motions.** All case dispositive motions and an opening brief and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before January 11, 2007. Briefing will be presented pursuant to the Court's Local Rules, unless the parties agree to an alternative briefing schedule. Any such agreement shall be in writing and filed with the Court for the Court's approval.

7. **Applications by Motion.** Except as provided in this Order or for matters relating to scheduling, any application to the Court shall be by written motion filed with the Clerk. Unless otherwise requested by the Court, counsel shall not deliver copies of papers or correspondence to Chambers. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.

8. **Oral Argument.** If the Court believes that oral argument is necessary, the Court will schedule a hearing Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.4.

9. **Pretrial Conference.** On May 22, 2008, the Court will hold a Pretrial Conference in Chambers with counsel beginning at 9:30 a.m. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties should assume that filing the pretrial order by April 28, 2008 satisfies the pretrial disclosure requirement in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). Plaintiff's counsel shall forward to defendant's counsel a draft of the pretrial order containing the information plaintiff proposes to include in the draft by March, 29, 2008. Defendant's counsel shall, in turn, provide to plaintiff's counsel any comments on the plaintiff's draft as well as the information defendant proposes to include in the proposed pretrial order. Motions in limine: No party shall

file more than ten (10) motions in limine. Briefs (opening, answering and reply) on all motions in limine shall be completed and filed by _____. Opening and answering briefs shall not exceed five (5) pages and reply briefs shall not exceed three (3) pages. The parties shall file with the court the joint proposed final pretrial order with the information required by the form of Final Pretrial Order which can be located on this court's website at www.ded.uscourts.gov on or before April 28, 2008.

10. **Trial.** This matter is scheduled for a three-day jury trial beginning at 9:00 a.m. on June 9, 2008.

11. **Scheduling.** The parties shall direct any requests or questions regarding the scheduling and management of this matter to Chambers at (302) 573-6470.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



**Smith
Katzenstein
Furlow LLP**

Attorneys at Law

The Corporate Plaza
800 Delaware Avenue, 10th Floor
P.O. Box 410
Wilmington, DE 19899
(Courier 19801)
Telephone: (302) 652-8400
Fax: (302) 652-8405
www.skfdelaware.com

June 22, 2007

BY E-FILE

The Honorable Gregory M. Sleet
United States District Court for the District of Delaware
844 North King Street, Room 4209
Lock Box 18
Wilmington, DE 19801

**Re: *Jason R. Bender v. Corporal Steven Robertson*
Case No. 06-772 GMS**

Your Honor:

Enclosed is the proposed form of scheduling order discussed by the parties and the Court at the June 19, 2007 teleconference. The form of order has been discussed and agreed upon by the parties since the teleconference and is attached.

I am available at the Court's convenience should you have questions or concerns.

Respectfully,

Etta R. Wolfe
(ID No. 4164)

ERW/eys
Enclosure

cc: Ralph K. Durstein, III, Esquire (w/enc.) (by E-File)